

Press Regulation

Is justice served if the

free press is silenced?

We need YOUR help to preserve the future of press



Why was this legislation approved in the first place?

Parliament, still nursing its wounds from the exposure by the Daily Telegraph of its expenses and under the cover of the Leveson Inquiry into phone-hacking, insisted on a plan – without any input or consultation – to force all news media, good or bad, to sign up to a new form of regulation under a Royal Charter. Quid pro part is the intended consequence that any decent news organization that seeks to hold decision-makers to account would submit to a state-approved regulatory structure rather than future Government could tighten and change – the Royal Charter also imposed potentially ruinous requirements around compulsory arbitration which may well afford (even with a caveat that this might be extremely narrowly reviewed in some cases) if the damage was too great.

To force newspapers into signing up to the new system, these punitive clauses were inserted into the Crime and Courts Act. But this is aathen to what a free and fair press should be. Instead, the industry established its own new regulator

How would you feel if this newspaper investigated a major scandal that directly affected you, your family and your neighbourhood but was unable to publish it because it would face potential financial ruin if it did?

Never mind that the story was in the public interest nor that every word of it was true, honest and uttered without

bias – a change in the law could silence us.

If you think this sounds too much like George Orwell's '1984' then you would be right.

But this is no imagined threat dreamt up by a novelist. Every significant newspaper, including this one, faces just such a nightmare today. A piece of legislation, the Crime and Courts Act of 2013, was introduced as a

blunt instrument to bludgeon the Press following the phone hacking scandal – even though the vast majority of newspapers like this one were found entirely innocent of any wrongdoing.

This Act not only means we are now liable to pay exemplary damages if we are found in court to have made a mistake, but a sleeping clause known as Section 40 could be awakened which

would force us to pay both sides costs even if our journalism is entirely vindicated.

That means that any investigation into the public interest could be silenced by anyone with something to hide because they would know that no matter how weak their case or how robust our journalism we would have to pay their ruinous costs if they tried to take us to court.

SECTION 40

yet no Press representatives were consulted over this Royal Charter – only Hacked Off, a pressure group.

It is claimed that the Royal Charter can only be changed by a three-fifths majority in Parliament and is therefore free of political interference, but this or any subsequent government could change this at any time with a single vote by majority by amending the original Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act.

The big digital sites like Google and Facebook are entirely outside all this regulation and penalty. Their content, driven by algorithms, is unedited, unauthorised and unregulated. You have no recourse with them.

Equally no other publisher online who does not publish a newspaper or magazine will be subject to any regulation, exposing the flaw in this badly and haphazardly drawn-up legislation.

In your newspapers and their websites which operate professional standards to fight for you. You can help by completing an online survey. It doesn't take

TODAY, WE ASK YOU TO FIGHT FOR US

freedom and the future of this newspaper's campaigning reporting

Key facts

WHAT IS SECTION 40?
A key part of the Royal Charter plan is a law requiring publishers to pay both sides' costs in a privacy or libel case, even if they win – unless they sign up to the state-sanctioned press regulator.

This would mean anyone could launch a legal action against a newspaper on an issue, no matter how small or unfair, and even if they lost, their legal fees would be enough to cause severe financial harm to newspapers.

This has been passed by Parliament – and signed off by the Crime and Courts Act 2013. It must now be signed off by Culture Secretary Karen Bradley. Ms Bradley has already admitted Section 40 is in the way to a "shameless free to call press" and said she was considering the implications very carefully.

She said: "It has been put to me very clearly by a number of editors of local newspapers that the exemplary damages section of Section 40 could see them being put out of business and certainly would impact on their ability to do investigative journalism."

WHAT IS THE EDITOR'S CODE OF PRACTICE AND HOW DOES ITENSURE A RESPONSIBLE LOCAL PRESS?
In response to Leveson, the news industry created the Independent Press Standards Organisation (IPSO) as the independent regulator for the newspaper and magazine industry in the UK. To maintain its independence, IPSO has deliberately not sought Royal Charter approval.

By polling the Editor's Code of Practice, IPSO holds newspapers and magazines to account for their actions, protects individual rights,

upholds standards of journalism, and allows maximum freedom of expression for the press.

The body, run by an independent panel in most of which have no connection to the news industry, has a wide range of powers. These include handling com-

plaints, conducting investigations into journalism standards, compelling news papers to print corrections and, where necessary, issuing fines of up to £1m to publications with serious failings.

You can read more about IPSO and its work here: <http://www.ipso.co.uk/>

WHAT IS IMPRESS?
Impress is a regulator which has been approved under the Royal Charter process. It is supported by a range of groups like Hacked Off – but no other mainstream newspaper publisher has joined it. One of its supporters of IMPRESS is major newspaper owner and campaigner against the News of the World, Rebekah Brooks. She has complained the press has breached its privacy and has taken a personal case against her former employer, the News of the World.

As part of its application, it actually got forward IPSO's Editors' Code of Practice – endorsing the standards the industry has already established.

WHAT IS THE GOVERNMENT'S CONSULTATION ON THE LEVESON INQUIRY?
The Government's consultation on Leveson ran from 10 January.

The Government confirmed that it would respond promptly to the consultation. Its programme will be debated in Parliament.

If you support this newspaper's independence and wish to complete the consultation, we would suggest you respond in the following way:

WHAT ARE THE CHANGES?
The Editors' Code of Practice sets out the rules that newspapers and magazines regulated by IPSO have agreed to follow. It is the cornerstone of the system of voluntary self-regulation on which publications have made a binding, contractual commitment.

It balances both the rights of the individual and the public's right to know.

It contains certain practices that ensure publications must be accurate, respect people's privacy, avoid harassment, handle stories sensitively and act in the public interest.

You can read the full code of practice here at <https://www.ipso.co.uk/documents/the-code-of-practice/>

WHAT DO YOU WANT READERS TO DO IN RESPONSE TO THE CONSULTATION AND HOW THEY SHOULD DO IT?

The Government's consultation on Leveson ran from 10 January.

The Government confirmed that it would respond promptly to the consultation. Its programme will be debated in Parliament.

If you support this newspaper's independence and wish to complete the consultation, we would suggest you respond in the following way:

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>

WHAT IS THE LEVESON INQUIRY AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION?
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/the-leveson-inquiry-and-its-implementation>